Use and Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations

Dennis R. Goldenson James McCurley Robert W. Stoddard, II

13th Annual PSM Users' Group Conference Orlando, Florida – 23 June 2009



Software Engineering Institute

CarnegieMellon

© 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

#### **NO WARRANTY**

THIS CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY AND SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE MATERIAL IS FURNISHED ON AN "AS-IS" BASIS. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY MAKES NO WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, AS TO ANY MATTER INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PURPOSE OR MERCHANTABILITY, EXCLUSIVITY, OR RESULTS OBTAINED FROM USE OF THE MATERIAL. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY DOES NOT MAKE ANY WARRANTY OF ANY KIND WITH RESPECT TO FREEDOM FROM PATENT, TRADEMARK, OR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT.

Use of any trademarks in this presentation is not intended in any way to infringe on the rights of the trademark holder.

This Presentation may be reproduced in its entirety, without modification, and freely distributed in written or electronic form without requesting formal permission. Permission is required for any other use. Requests for permission should be directed to the Software Engineering Institute at permission@sei.cmu.edu.

This work was created in the performance of Federal Government Contract Number FA8721-05-C-0003 with Carnegie Mellon University for the operation of the Software Engineering Institute, a federally funded research and development center. The Government of the United States has a royalty-free government-purpose license to use, duplicate, or disclose the work, in whole or in part and in any manner, and to have or permit others to do so, for government purposes pursuant to the copyright license under the clause at 252.227-7013.



## **Selected Results from SEI Surveys**

Selected results on the outcomes of using analytical methods

- Data from 2008 survey of high maturity appraisal sponsors
- Focus on issues faced with respect to the adoption & productive use of high maturity measurement & analysis practices

Question wording framed on Process Performance Baselines & Models (PPMs & PPBs)

• Because of survey focus on CMMI-based improvement

Nevertheless, the broader issue is one of appropriate use of analytical methods & the value that can be added by using them

- Don't fixate on the CMMI terminology...
- What matters for process improvement is the use of the analytical methods ... statistical modeling & otherwise
- Similar results in general population survey where reference is simply to M&A

High maturity survey replicated in 2009 with High Maturity Lead Appraisers instead of organizational representatives



Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 3 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

## Caveat: The Survey Data Do *Not* Speak for Themselves

Perceptions & expectations often differ among survey respondents

• & they probably do by maturity level

We're not claiming cause & effect

- It's statistical association at one point in time
- Cause & effect often are recursive

Proportions & strength of association sometimes vary across the distributions in both surveys

But the differences *are* consistent by maturity level & measurement practices

Results described more fully in a recent SEI Technical Report

• CMU/SEI-2008-TR-024, ESC-TR-2008-024



Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 4 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

### **The Need for Evidence**

#### A great deal of recent discussion

- What does it take to attain high maturity status?
- What can one reasonably expect to gain by doing so?

#### We need clarification

• Along with good examples of what has worked well and what has not

Questions center on value added by process performance modeling

- As a function of extent of use & understanding of PPMs
- As well as organizational resources & management support

Response rate: 46%



Software Engineering Institute

**Carnegie Mellon** 

## **Synopsis & Implications**

Evidence of considerable understanding & use of PPMs

- But also variation in responses
- The same is true for judgments about how useful PPMs have been

There is in fact room for continuous improvement among high maturity organizations.

• As in less mature organizations

#### Nevertheless

- Judgments about value added by process performance modeling also vary predictably
- As a function of the understanding & reported use of the models

More widespread adoption & improved understanding of what constitutes a suitable process performance model holds promise to improve CMMI-based performance outcomes considerably



Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 6 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

#### Following are a few statements about the possible <u>effects of</u> <u>using process performance modeling</u>. To what extent do they describe what your organization has experienced?



Software Engineering Institute

**Carnegie Mellon** 

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 7 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

## Overall, how useful have process performance models been for your organization?



#### How often are process performance model predictions used to inform decision making in your organization's status and milestone reviews?



## Healthy PPM Ingredients: Emphasis

How much emphasis does your organization place upon the following in its process performance modeling?

- Accounting for uncertainty and variability in predictive factors and predicted outcomes
- Factors that are under management or technical control
- Other product, contractual or organizational characteristics, resources or constraints
- Segmenting or otherwise accounting for uncontrollable factors
- Factors that are tied to detailed subprocesses
- Factors that are tied to larger, more broadly defined organizational processes



Software Engineering Institute

**Carnegie Mellon** 

# Relationship Between Healthy PPM Ingredients & Overall Value Attributed to PPMs 1



**Software Engineering Institute** 

**Carnegie Mellon** 

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 11 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

## Healthy PPM Ingredients: Usage

To what degree are your organization's process performance models used for the following purposes?

- Predict final project outcomes
- Predict interim outcomes during project execution (e.g., connecting "upstream" with "downstream" activities)
- Model the variation of factors and understand the predicted range or variation of the predicted outcomes
- Enable "what-if" analysis for project planning, dynamic re-planning and problem resolution during project execution
- Enable projects to achieve mid-course corrections to ensure project success

#### Note that values on the extremes of this & all other weighted sum measures require consistency of replies across all of the component sub questions



Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 12 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

# Relationship Between Healthy PPM Ingredients & Overall Value Attributed to PPMs <sub>2</sub>





**Software Engineering Institute** 

**Carnegie Mellon** 

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 13 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

## **Diversity of PPMs**

Which of the following product quality and project performance outcomes are routinely predicted with process performance models in your organization?

- Delivered defects
- Type or severity of defects
- Product quality attributes (e.g., mean time to failure, design complexity, maintainability, interoperability, portability, usability, reliability, complexity, reusability or durability)
- Quality of services provided (e.g., IT ticket resolution time)
- Cost and schedule duration
- Work product size
- Accuracy of estimates (e.g., cost, schedule, product size or effort)
- ROI of process improvement or related financial performance
- Customer satisfaction



Software Engineering Institute C

**Carnegie Mellon** 

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 14 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

#### Relationship Between Diversity of Models Used & Overall Value Attributed to PPMs





Software Engineering Institute

**Carnegie Mellon** 

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 15 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

## **Use of Exemplary Modeling Approaches**

#### Including:

- We have trouble doing process performance modeling because it takes too long to accumulate enough historical data.
- We thought we knew what was driving process performance, but process performance modeling has taught us otherwise.
- We use data mining when similar but not identical electronic records exist.
- We do real time sampling of current processes when historical data are not available.
- We create our baselines from paper records for previously unmeasured attributes.

Relatively little use, but apparent payoff when used  $-\underline{Gamma} = .48$ 



Software Engineering Institute

**Carnegie** Mellon

## **Statistical Analysis Methods**

To what extent are the following <u>statistical methods</u> used in your organization's process performance modeling?

- Regression analysis predicting continuous outcomes (e.g., bivariate or multivariate linear regression or non-linear regression)
- Regression analysis predicting categorical outcomes (e.g., logistic regression or loglinear models)
- Analysis of variance (e.g., ANOVA, ANCOVA or MANOVA)
- Attribute SPC charts (e.g., c, u, p, or np)
- Individual point SPC charts (e.g., ImR or XmR)
- Continuous SPC charts (e.g., XbarR or XbarS)
- Design of experiments



Software Engineering Institute

**Carnegie Mellon** 

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 17 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

#### Relationship Between Use of Statistical Methods & Overall Value Attributed to PPMs





Software Engineering Institute

**Carnegie Mellon** 

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 18 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

## **Optimization Methods**

Which of the following <u>other optimization approaches</u> are used in your organization's process performance modeling?

- Monte Carlo simulation
- Discrete event simulation for process modeling
- Markov or Petri-net models
- Probabilistic modeling
- Neural networks
- Optimization



Software Engineering Institute

#### **Carnegie Mellon**

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 19 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

#### Relationship Between Use of Optimization Methods & Overall Value Attributed to PPMs





## **Automated Support**

How much automated support is available for measurement related activities in your organization?

- Data collection (e.g., on-line forms with "tickler" reminders, time stamped activity logs, static or dynamic analyses of call graphs or run-time behavior)
- Commercial work flow automation that supports data collection
- Data management (e.g., relational or distributed database packages, open database connectivity, tools for data integrity, verification, or validation)
- Spreadsheet add-ons for basic statistical analysis
- Commercial statistical packages that support more advanced analyses
- Customized spreadsheets for routine analyses (e.g. for defect phase containment)
- Commercial software for report preparation (e.g., graphing packages or other presentation quality results)



Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 21 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

#### **Relationship Between Automated Support & Overall Value Attributed to PPMs**

**Software Engineering Institute** 



**Carnegie** Mellon

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 22 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

#### Relationship Between Managers' Understanding of Model Results & Overall Value Attributed to PPMs



Software Engineering Institute

**Carnegie Mellon** 

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 23 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

#### Relationship Between Use of PPM Predictions in Reviews & Overall Value Attributed to PPMs



**Software Engineering Institute** 

**Carnegie Mellon** 

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 24 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

#### Stakeholder Involvement

How would you characterize the involvement of various potential stakeholders in setting goals and deciding on plans of action for measurement and analysis in your organization?

- Customers
- Executive and senior managers
- Middle managers (e.g., program or product line)
- Project managers
- Project engineers and other technical staff
- Process and quality engineers
- Measurement specialists

Note that values on the extremes of this & all other weighted sum measures require consistency of replies across all of the component sub questions

**Carnegie** Mellon



Software Engineering Institute

As per GQ(I)M Measurement & Analysis SG1, SP1

As well as GP 2.7

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 25 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

#### Relationship Between Stakeholder Involvement & Overall Value Attributed to PPMs



Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 26 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University



Software Engineering Institute

**Carnegie Mellon** 

#### Relationship Between PPM Staff Availability & Overall Value Attributed to PPMs





Software Engineering Institute

**Carnegie** Mellon

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 27 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

## **Technical Challenge**<sub>1</sub>

#### Composite measure

- Extensive interoperability Large development efforts
- Quality attribute constraints Requirements changes
- Requirements not well defined Insufficient resources
- Immature technology Little or no precedent for work
- Insufficient skills / resources

Essentially *no* direct relationship among these high maturity organizations (Gamma = .02)

However relationships with *other* predictors of value added by PPMs *do* differ consistently

• As a function extent of technical challenges faced in their projects



Software Engineering Institute

**Carnegie Mellon** 

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 28 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

## **Technical Challenge**<sub>2</sub>

Stronger relationships when there are *more* technical challenges

- More likely to report value added by process performance modeling
- Including those who use PPMs the *least*

#### 19 out of 20 comparisons – highly unlikely due to change alone

- use of process performance model predictions in reviews
- emphasis on healthy process performance model ingredients
- use of healthy process performance model ingredients
- exemplary modeling approaches
- diversity of process performance models: product quality and project performance
- use of diverse statistical methods
- use of optimization techniques
- use of automated support for measurement and analysis activities
- availability of qualified process performance modeling personnel
- management support (composite measure)

Software Engineering Institute CarnegieMellon

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 29 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

### **Measurement Related Training**

Composite measures based largely on the duration of the training (*not* the quality)

Moderately strong relationship between *management* training & overall value attributed to PPMs – <u>Gamma = .30</u>

But stronger relationships with intermediate factors more directly under management control, e.g.

- *Emphasis on healthy PPM ingredients* <u>Gamma = .44</u>
- Use of diverse statistical methods <u>Gamma = .43</u>

Moderate relationship with modelers' training - <u>Gamma = .29</u>

- But no other direct effects
- Probably mediated by other, more important determinants of overall value



Software Engineering Institute

CarnegieMellon

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 30 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

## **Overall Impact**<sub>1</sub>

Did exploratory data analyses to describe combined impact

- As a function of variation in response to the individual questions & composite measures
- That are most strongly associated with reported outcome of process
  performance modeling

Focused on various combinations looking for a parsimonious model

Using several statistical methods

Not surprisingly, the various questions & composite measures are often associated with each other

- The inter-relationships are quite complex with mediating effects
- So it is difficult to describe the overall relationship simply



Software Engineering Institute

**Carnegie Mellon** 

## **Overall Impact<sub>2</sub>**

Still, able to increase overall relationship modestly

- Gamma = .71
- Using multiple logistic regression (with non categorized measures)

#### Variables include:

- Use of process performance model predictions in status & milestone reviews
- Diversity of models used
- Management & Analytic Facilitators of Effective Measurement & Analysis (Exemplary modeling approaches & a similar composite measure of management support for modeling)
- Healthy PPM Ingredients: Emphasis



Software Engineering Institute

#### **Carnegie Mellon**

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 32 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

## **Summary of Results**

#### Considerable understanding & use of PPMs

- But also variation in responses
- The same is true for judgments about how useful PPMs have been

#### Nevertheless

- Judgments about value added by process performance modeling also vary predictably
- As a function of:
  - Understanding & reported use of the models
  - Organizational resources & management support

More widespread adoption & improved understanding of what constitutes a suitable process performance model holds promise to improve CMMI-based performance outcomes considerably



Software Engineering Institute

**Carnegie Mellon** 

## **The General Population Surveys**

In general, how valuable has measurement and analysis been to your organization?

- Selected evidence follows.
- Response rate: 25%



Software Engineering Institute

**Carnegie Mellon** 

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 34 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

#### Effects of Measurement on the Organizations<sub>1</sub>



#### Effects of Measurement on the Organizations<sub>2</sub>

#### **Better Tactical Decisions Better Strategic Decisions** 27% 26% 38% 39% 35% 20% 9% 13% Rare, never, 38% worse, DK 38% or NA 58% 36% 57% Half time or 39% 46% 41% on occasion 54% 49% 38% Always or 27% frequently 22% 20% 16% 16% ML1&DK ML2 ML3 ML4&5 ML1&DK ML2 ML3 ML4&5 N = 59 N = 49 N = 55N = 59 N = 50 N = 56N = 74N = 74Gamma = .35p = .0001Gamma = .318000. = q Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Software Engineering Institute **Carnegie Mellon** Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

36

## **Sampling Issues**

Lower than desired response rates

Not surprising in relatively long questionnaires

Exacerbated by:

- Repeated contact of the same individuals for business as well as survey purposes
- Demands on time from busy executives

Considering other sampling strategies for future surveys

"State of the practice" also can refer to very different target populations

- The SEI customer base ... the broader software & systems engineering community ... or those organizations that more routinely use measurement?
- Of course, the answer depends on the purposes of the survey



Software Engineering Institute | CarnegieMellon

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 37 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University

#### **Thank You for Your Attention!**

Dennis Goldenson, Jim McCurley & Bob Stoddard

Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3890 USA





Software Engineering Institute

**Carnegie** Mellon

Use & Organizational Impact of Process Performance Modeling in CMMI High Maturity Organizations Goldenson, McCurley & Stoddard 38 23 June 2009 © 2009 Carnegie Mellon University